EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
The Humanist Association of Ireland (HAI) is concerned with the proven institutional and structural discrimination against people of no religion. State institutions should ensure differences of belief or philosophy are fully and equitably respected in the Constitution, laws, policy and practice. A range of human rights obligations demand the Irish state respects parent rights to have their children educated in accordance with their beliefs. The lack of plurality of school types means many non-religious or minority belief parents are obliged to send their children to denominational schools run to a Catholic ethos. Schools adopting an Educate Together (ET) approach respect religious and non-religious beliefs, without affecting the human rights and educational entitlements of all. Religious instruction can be provided using school premises outside school hours. A genuine religious education curriculum should be developed to educate pupils about religions and alternatives to religion. The case for an ET approach is supported by international human rights covenants ratified by Ireland.

The HAI has serious concerns about the opt-out option, as practiced in the new VEC community primary schools. Minority belief students risk being seen as different, feeling excluded and experiencing reduced self-esteem. A religious curriculum concerned with learning about religions and alternatives to religion, which respects the human rights of all concerned, should be developed and introduced. In the long term, there should be no patronage of schools. The HAI believes the state should abolish the patronage system and instead establish schools itself, which should be run as secular schools. In the meantime, the legal entitlement for schools to discriminate against teachers or pupils to preserve their religious ethos should be removed. To qualify as a primary school teacher, trainees have to attend a college run by a religious denomination. Teacher training should be delivered by the state in a belief neutral environment which respects their freedom of belief. The State should prioritise this issue. In the meantime, at the very least, teachers in training should not be obliged to undergo religious instruction. Furthermore, teachers should not be expected to deliver religious education without remuneration.

I. BACKGROUND AND FRAMEWORK
The Humanist Association of Ireland (HAI) was founded in 1993. HAI strongly supports the Irish democratic process and structure. We support the further the strengthening of Irish democracy and the right of all Irish citizens to fully participate. The HAI wishes to promote the common good and development of an open and inclusive society, based on a commitment to equality, human rights and social cohesion. We campaign and consult with a range of partners including the government on issues that affect our members. The HAI is concerned with the proven institutional and structural discrimination against people of no religion. To date, there has been a lack of political will to tackle such discrimination. Many Irish citizens have therefore found themselves marginalised and/or regarded as ‘second-class’ citizen. State
institutions should not be biased towards any particular belief group and differences of belief or philosophy must be fully and equitably respected in policy and practice. The Constitution, laws and practices of the State should reflect this approach.

These principles should apply in any truly democratic republic regardless of the size or growth of various belief groups. However, it is worth pointing out that in the 2006 Irish census 2006, the second largest group after Roman Catholics were those of “No religion” (186,000). A further 70,000 individuals were ‘not stated’. Ireland has become a far more diverse society ethnically, culturally and in terms of religious and philosophical beliefs. These factors emphasize the need for the State to respect the human rights of all the citizens of Ireland in its Constitution, laws and its practices.

II. PROMOTION AND PROTECTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS ON THE GROUND

A. Cooperation with human rights mechanisms

There are a number of international human rights obligations incumbent upon Ireland to respect parents’ rights to have their children educated in a manner consistent with their beliefs. Of particular relevance is Article 18(4) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR):

The States Parties to the present Covenant undertake to have respect for the liberty of parents and, when applicable, legal guardians to ensure the religious and moral education of their children in conformity with their own convictions.

Article 13(1) of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural rights (ICESCR) emphasises the right of “all persons to participate effectively in a free society, promote understanding, tolerance and friendship among all nations and all racial, ethnic or religious groups.” Article 29 (1) of the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CROC) building on Article 13(1) of the ICESCR. It establishes objectives for education based on encouraging understanding between people of different belief systems. Para. (d) is of particular significance:

The preparation of the child for responsible life in a free society, in the spirit of understanding, peace, tolerance, equality of sexes, and friendship among all peoples, ethnic, national and religious groups and persons of indigenous origin;

Religious instruction as part of the compulsory educational curriculum has been noted as a significant issue by the Committee on the Rights of the Child. The Committee’s first General Comment on the aims of education stressed “children do not lose their human rights by virtue of passing through the school gates” and the importance of schools respecting the participation rights of children. Article 9 of the European Convention of Human Rights (ECHR) establishes the right of the citizens of Europe to “freedom of thought, conscience and religion.” Article 2 – Right to Education - of the First Protocol to the ECHR emphasizes the State’s responsibility to respect parents’ rights to ensure their children’s education does not contravene their religious or non-religious convictions. The UN Human Rights Committee (July 2008) held that the Irish government, in line with its obligations under the ICCPR, “should increase its efforts to ensure that non-denominational primary education is widely available in all regions.” The Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD) in its concluding remarks on Ireland (2005) encouraged the State to “promote the establishment of non-denominational or multi-denominational schools.”

B. Implementation of International Human Rights Obligations

7. Right to education and to participate in the cultural life of the community
Lack of Choice
The central problem of rights protection in Irish education is the lack of plurality of school types. The current system violates the rights of a minority. 98% of national schools are denominational with almost 92% (3,027 of 3,302) of these being Catholic. These schools are legally permitted to have a religious ethos, including integrating religion into all school subjects and the general school day. The Equal Status Act 2000 [Section 7-3(c)] legally entitles discrimination in pupil entry to uphold their particular religious ethos. The majority of parents have to send their children to a denominational primary school. This discriminates against parents of a minority religion or no religion who have no local option. This situation is financed by taxpayers of all religions and none. The State must establish a system of education that neither infringes the rights of the minority nor casts religious believers and organisations in the role of human rights offenders.

Schools Adopting an Inclusive ‘Educate Together’ Approach
The HAI strongly believes that schools that educate together (ET) provide the optimal solution to ensuring the human rights of Irish pupils to receive an education respecting their beliefs. Inclusive ET type schools provide an opportunity for people of all faiths, and none, to co-exist peacefully and fruitfully in an environment where their rights to their own beliefs and philosophies are respected. Schools that adopt an ET model respect the requirements of the religious and non-religious, without affecting the human rights and educational entitlements of all. Schools using an ET approach also allow for the provision of religious instruction outside school hours. The argument in favour of an ET model is well supported by international human rights covenants, which Ireland has ratified.

Although the Irish State recognises ET schools, only 58 such schools have been established in 35 years. This is largely due to the local ‘self-start’ requirement whereby ET schools must be initiated by parents with limited time and resources. The current ET approach is only a first step and much remains to be done to ensure Irish pupils have their right to an education, consistent with their values and irrespective of their religious or non-religious beliefs, respected. The Irish state should make ET model schools a primary objective and ensure they are made available throughout Ireland. At the very least there should be an ET school within every locality to provide true choice for parents.

Opt-Out Option
The guarantee under Article 44.2.4 of the Irish Constitution stipulates that no legislation should “affect prejudicially the right of any child to attend a school receiving public money without attending religious instruction in that school.” The Education Act contains a provision that no student shall be required to attend instruction in any subject contrary to the conscience of the pupil’s parent. Every national school must respect and facilitate this entitlement. In its General Comment on Article 18 of the ICCPR, the Human Rights Committee noted that public education including “instruction in a particular religion or belief is inconsistent with Article 18(4) of the ICCPR unless provision is made for non-discriminatory exemptions or alternatives that would accommodate the wishes of parents and guardians.”

The new VEC community primary schools allow separation of pupils during the school day for religious instruction. The HAI has serious concerns about this. Minority belief students, risk being seen as different and feeling excluded and experiencing reduced self-esteem as their beliefs are not recognized or are seen as inferior to those of the majority. These human rights concerns and limitations have been increasingly recognised – by the ECHR (Folgerø v. Norway and the United Nations Human Rights Committee (UNHRC) (Leirvåg v Norway). Concerns highlighted include potential inadequate and insufficient protection for parents’ right to respect for their convictions, minorities feeling too nervous to apply for
exemptions from religious courses and that pupils should not have to explain their religious beliefs as this could breach their religious freedom.

**Religion and the Educational Curriculum**

The educational curriculum should not provide religious indoctrination. It is wrong that national school teachers spend half an hour a day teaching religious instruction on an unpaid basis. The situation is aggravated in denominational schools, where classes spend weeks in preparation for religious ceremonies like ‘First Communion’. It is morally wrong that children are segregated at a young age on religious or non-religious grounds. Such segregation stops children learning about their peers who – through the accident of birth – belong to a different belief system, whether religious or non-religious. It also risks increasing sectarian division and distance between different religious and non-religious groups. A genuine religious education curriculum should be developed educating pupils about religions and alternatives to religion. Teachers should not have to deliver religious education without remuneration. The National Council for Curriculum and Assessment (NCCA) should restructure the religious education curriculum (REC) to ensure students study religions and philosophies other than their own. The non-religious community and multi-denominational educational sector must be adequately represented on the NCCA to ensure REC is restructured so all religious and non-religious beliefs are treated in an appropriate and balanced manner.

**Teacher Training and Recruitment**

Currently primary school teacher trainees have to a college run by a religious denomination. Teacher training should be delivered in a belief neutral environment. Trainee teachers should not have to undergo religious instruction. The HAI believes it is wrong for the State to publicly fund teacher training colleges but then cede control to independent boards, reporting to religious authorities. A recent teacher council report showed too much time was spent on religious studies and not enough on core subjects such as science, modern languages and civic education. Teachers obliged to study religious subjects, irrespective of their particular beliefs, and time that should be spent preparing them so they might optimally fulfil their future teaching responsibilities, is wasted on religious indoctrination. This practice should be stopped immediately and the State should run these institutions on a secular basis. Denominational schools are exempt from certain employment equality laws. Teachers whose lifestyles do not conform to the Catholic ethos of the majority of schools (divorced persons, LGBT teachers, non-religious and so on) are therefore in a vulnerable position.

**III. ACHIEVEMENTS, BEST PRACTICES, CHALLENGES AND CONSTRAINTS**

ET schools have been opened and more are planned in the near future. However, only 58 ET schools have been established in 35 years, which illustrates the inadequacy of the current local ‘self-start’ system by parents with limited time and resources. The new Minister for Education, Ruairi Quinn, has stated his intention to remove religious patronage of national schools to create “greater diversity and choice”, which is a very positive development. The Department of Education and Skills’ proposal to fund a pilot programme to develop modules for training teachers to deliver the ET “Learn Together” programme is welcome.

**IV. KEY NATIONAL PRIORITIES**

Ensure parents of minority and/or no belief can choose a school respecting their right for their child to receive an education according to their beliefs. Schools adopting an ‘Educate Together’ (ET) approach should be established in every locality. Patronage of schools/teacher training should end. The state should establish and run ET secular schools and secular teacher training. Pupils should study comparative religions and non-religious philosophies. Teacher training should not include religious instruction. The legal entitlement for schools to discriminate against teachers or pupils to preserve their religious ethos should be removed.
V. CAPACITY BUILDING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Parents of minority and no belief should be able to choose a school that respects their right to have their child educated according to their beliefs.

2. The opt-out approach has several weaknesses. The State should prioritise schools adopting an Educate Together approach. These should be made available in every locality.

3. In the long term, there should be no patronage of schools. The State should abolish the patronage system and establish schools secular schools. The religious ethos in denominational schools discriminates against those of minority religions or no religion whereas the ethos of Educate Together schools promotes inclusiveness and mutual respect for the rights and beliefs of all pupils.

4. Religious education classes should not be religious instruction or favour any belief. They should study comparative religions and non-religious philosophies. If parents want their children to have religious instruction this could be facilitated on the school premises outside of school hours.

5. The state should provide teacher training through non-religious institutions. Teachers should not be obliged to undergo religious instruction. Teacher training colleges should concentrate on ensuring trainees are optimally prepared on the core curriculum subjects they will be required to teach in the future. No public finances should be used to provide religious instruction to teachers.

6. There should be no patronage of schools or teacher training institutions.

7. There should be no legal entitlement for schools to discriminate against teachers or pupils to preserve their religious ethos.
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