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In this submission, Stop Child Executions provides information and recommendations on the status of juvenile executions as carried out in violation of major international treaties by the Islamic Republic of Iran.

In Section 1, Stop Child Executions raises serious concerns about Iran’s violation of international treaties which prohibit the execution of juveniles.

In Section 2, Stop Child Executions highlights the critical situation regarding the current practices of juvenile executions and imprisonment, including torture, forced confessions, abuse and rape taking place inside Iran’s prisons.

In Section 3, Stop Child Executions makes a number of recommendations for action by the Iranian authorities that will enable them to take meaningful steps to address this crisis.

In addition, Appendix A contains the 2009 report “From Cradle to Coffin: A Report on Child Executions in Iran”, which provides a more comprehensive and detailed report on the issue of juvenile executions in Iran and Appendix B provides a full list of the 160 minors currently on death row in Iran.

---

**Executive summary**

The Islamic Republic of Iran is one of the few remaining countries in the world that continues to execute children.

- Since 2000, Iran has executed more children than any other country in the world.
- In the last five years alone there have been 33 child executions in Iran.
- As of June 2009, at least 160 juveniles wait on death row for a wide range of “offences” including homosexuality, acts incompatible with chastity, apostasy, drug trafficking and involvement in school or street fights that result in a murder.
- Lack of transparency and accountability means that it is impossible to estimate the actual number of juveniles who have faced the death penalty in Iran since the 1979 revolution.

This is in clear breach of several international legally binding treaties

- Article 3 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Stop Child Executions believes that these violations are the result of deliberate state policies to retain the juvenile death penalty, combined with criminal justice systems that fail to provide children with fundamental protections against unfair trials. Since 47% of Iran's population is below the age of 18, this is an important and justified area of concern.

Please see page 5 of this document for recommendations by Stop Child Executions addressing the necessary action by the Islamic Republic of Iran.

---

**About Stop Child Executions – www.stopchildexecutions.com**

Stop Child Executions is a leading source of information on minors on death row in Iran. SCE is a nonprofit 501c3 organization. SCE is an independent, non-political human rights group whose aim is to put a permanent end to child executions. It was co-founded by the international human rights activist Nazanin Afshin-Jam and is run entirely by volunteers. As well as lobbying governments and encouraging politicians and private citizens to put pressure on offending countries to commute and ban executions of juveniles, SCE acts as a liaison between families and human rights lawyers, as well as channels information from Iran to the United Nations and international human rights groups, including Amnesty International. SCE has initiated major petitions, organized rallies, produced documentaries, participated in major human rights conferences, conducted speeches in various parliaments and is cited in major newspapers, magazines, television and radio news programs worldwide. In June 2009, SCE launched a comprehensive and detailed report on the issue of juvenile executions in Iran - "From Cradle to Coffin: A Report on Child Executions in Iran" available at [http://fpc.org.uk/fsblob/1063.pdf](http://fpc.org.uk/fsblob/1063.pdf), and attached as Appendix B to this submission.
Since 2000, Iran has executed more children who were under 18 when they committed the crime than any other country in the world. As of June 2009, at least 160 juveniles wait on death row for a wide range of ‘offences’ including homosexuality, acts incompatible with chastity, apostasy, drug trafficking and involvement in school or street fights that result in a murder. Since 1990, 43 minors have faced execution in Iran. Among them, 11 were hanged before their 18th birthday. In the last five years alone there have been 33 executions in Iran. Most minors are not executed until after they reach their 18th birthday; however 25% of those executed since 1990 have been under this age.

Violations of International Treaties

The prohibition on the juvenile death penalty is absolute in international and customary law. The fact that the Islamic Republic of Iran is one of the few countries in the world that continues to execute children is in clear breach of several internationally binding treaties:

1. Iran has signed and ratified Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) in 1994, Article 37(a) of which provides that: “Neither capital punishment nor life imprisonment without possibility of release shall be imposed for offences committed by persons below eighteen years of age.”

2. Iran has also ratified the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) in 1976, Article 6.5 of which declares: “Sentence of death shall not be imposed for crimes committed by persons below eighteen years of age.”

3. Article 9 of Iran’s Civil Code confirms Iran’s obligation to respect these treaties, stating: “Treaties and conventions which have been ratified in accordance with the constitution between the governments of Iran and other governments are defined as laws.”

4. In 1994, the UN Human Rights Committee stated that it considered the prohibition against executing children to be part of international customary law, and thus not open to reservations.

5. Furthermore, a basic principle of international law is pacta sunt servanda. This principle is codified in Article 26 of the Vienna Convention, which states: “Every treaty in force is binding upon the parties to it and must be performed by them in good faith.”

Iran has been and remains a party to the above-mentioned instruments, and therefore, must respect its international obligations and bring its domestic laws in line with them.

International Condemnation

The UN Human Rights Committee has stated that “making apostasy, illicit sex [. . .] an homosexual act [. . .] punishable by death is incompatible with Article 6 of the ICCPR which restricts the application of the death penalty to the most serious of crimes.”

Further, the UN Special Rapporteur on Extrajudicial, Summary or Arbitrary Executions considers that “the death penalty should be eliminated for crimes such as economic crimes and drug related offences”, offences for which the death penalty is still enforced.

In addition to international condemnation by the United Nations, European Union and non-governmental organisations, in 2003 the head of Iranian judiciary sent a circular calling for judges to refrain from sentencing juveniles to death.

Background to the Iranian Penal Code Obligations

Under the Islamic Penal Code of Iran based on an interpretation of Shari’a law, the death penalty is available for an extremely wide range of offences. The Code identifies 5 types of crime: hodoud (crimes against divine will, for which the penalty is prescribed by Islamic law); qesas (private disputes which allow retribution in kind); diyeh (compensation), ta’zir (crimes that incur discretionary punishments applied by the state that are not derived from Islamic law); and deterrent punishments, which include penalties such as fines and cancellation of relevant licences. The death penalty is provided for certain hodoud and ta’zir crimes and to qesas for murder. For a more extensive background, please refer to pages 24-37 of the report in Appendix A.
Why Iran’s arguments for child executions do not work

1. Argument: Iranian officials have justified some executions on the grounds that the child was over 18 at the time of the execution.

   Fact: There have been numerous cases where the execution has occurred before the victim reached 18 years of age (see table opposite).

2. Argument: Executions in murder cases were not executions, but rather an act of requital, where the murder victim’s heirs’ have the private right to enforce retribution (qesas) since this is allowed under Shari’a law and is seen as a matter of private, not state, law.

   Fact: In Iranian law, murder is treated as a private dispute between two civil parties – the state’s role is to facilitate the resolution of the dispute through the judicial process. In this sense, the death penalty, as in hokm-e ‘edam, is regarded as being imposed by the state, whereas qesas is imposed by the family of the victim. As a result, sentences of qesas are not open to pardon or amnesty by the Supreme Leader. Under international law, Iran remains fully responsible for respecting and protecting the rights of those under its jurisdiction, irrespective of the role that private parties may play in the administration of justice. In a case of qesas, Iran must respect the rights of any child offender by ensuring that the process it facilitates does not allow for the offender’s execution and protects the child offender from any acts by private parties that would lead to an execution.

Argument: Ending executions of minors violates Islamic Shari’a law.

Fact: "The demand to end juvenile executions does not contradict Islamic Shari’a law [. . .] top Iranian clerics some 80 years ago banned juvenile execution in the country." (Shirin Ebadi, Nobel Laureate)

Argument: According to Shari’a law, derived in part from the Qur’an, girls over the ages of 9 lunar years (8 years and 8 months) and boys over the age of 15 lunar years (14 and a half) should be held to be criminally responsible and can be sentenced to death.

Fact: Not only does this interpretation institutionalise a gender-based discrimination, but many Iranian religious scholars and leading figures argue that this has not been referenced anywhere in the Qur’an, and that it cannot be used to determine the age at which a person is subjected to the death penalty. The Qur’an identifies the age of maturity, and not that of puberty, as the criterion for giving punishment. Confirming this viewpoint, one of the most influential figures and a drafter of the Iranian constitution, Ayatollah Makarem Shirazi, stipulates that "for the sentencing of the underage adolescents, the maturity level has to be considered, in the case of any suspicion and if such sentencing would deface Islam throughout the world, per the judge’s discretion, there can be reductions in the severity of the sentence." If a person is not mature enough to accept responsibility to hold a driving license, vote or open a bank account until he or she has reached 18 years of age, how can the same person be held responsible to fully comprehend the consequences of their criminal decisions or action to the point of facing execution?

Despite its global ranking as the top executioner of juvenile offenders, the Iranian judicial representatives often deny juvenile executions, ignore their international commitments and contradict national laws and practices. As recently as 28 October 2008, in a statement issued to the UN, once again Iran denied executing juveniles. The day after, Iranian authorities executed the seventh juvenile offender in 2008.

Signs of Potential Change in Iranian Legislation which should be encouraged

1. In 2001, legislation in the form of the Juvenile Crimes Investigation Act, prohibiting the use of death penalty for offences committed by people under the age of 18 was put before Iran’s legislature, with an initial reading given in 2006. This legislation purported to bring the Iranian Penal Code closer to meeting Iran’s international obligations, however it has yet to be fully passed into law, some eight years after its first proposal. This delay has been attributed to the Guardian Council, which must issue final authorisation to parliamentary legislation.

2. In October 2008, a judicial ban on juvenile executions was introduced at the 63rd annual UN General Assembly, however, an interview with Hussein Zebhi, the Deputy for Judicial Affairs to Iran’s Prosecutor General, states that the
Judicial directive only applied to narcotics cases and that judges would not reduce sentences in murder cases, contrary to a previous announcement that it would apply to all offenders below the age of 18, "no matter what." While this can be regarded as a move in the right direction in terms of implementing laws that encourage the judiciary to respect Iran's international obligations in relation to juvenile executions, no clarification was given as to whether the decree would still allow minors who are found guilty of committing the relevant crime when below the age of 18 but over the age of 18 at the time of execution, to be executed for narcotics offences.

3. Even the head of the Iranian judiciary Ayatollah Hashemi Shahroudi, along with a number of high ranking Islamic clerics and scholars, has publicly stated on several occasions that he is against the death penalty for adolescents and has sent circulars calling for judges to refrain from sentencing juveniles to death. In addition, the civil society, including high profile lawyers, activists, sociologists, and doctors, have pushed for an end to such brutality through petitions, joint letters, rallies and conferences. At the same time, there has been an increase in workshops, training and coordination of the nationwide judiciary, so that decisions by the central authorities are implemented throughout the country.

These moves must be encouraged and urged by the international community in order to bring child executions to an end.

Section 2: Concerns regarding the current practices of juvenile sentencing, imprisonment and executions

Improper adjudication and violations of human rights in detention

While death sentences are often approved by the country's highest judicial authorities, they are frequently the result of improper adjudication; lack or limited access to legal representation throughout the entire process of investigation; and contradictory sentences by different branches of the judiciary.

An example is the case of Delara Darabi, where, in addition to numerous international legal violations, Iran’s own domestic law was violated: On April 19 2009, Iran’s head of judiciary issued a two-month stay of execution in order for the families involved to negotiate a pardon for Delara. This was disregarded by Rasht prison officials, who executed Delara Darabi on May 1st 2009, just 12 days after the stay of execution was issued. Furthermore, while Iranian authorities are required to issue a 48-hour notice prior to the implementation of the verdict, Delara’s parents came to know of her execution just minutes before she was hanged. Since her execution, at least five other juveniles have come close to facing the same fate and, in the last 3 years, at least 5 juveniles have been executed without prior notification.

Abuse of juvenile offenders, especially women, in prison

Since the early days of the Islamic Republic, some juveniles have been physically or sexually tortured.

An example is the case of Reza Hejazi, executed on 19 August 2008 for fatally stabbing a man in a group fight at the age of 15. His brother talked of the torture his brother suffered in prison in a news interview: "I, my mother and my father are all witnesses of what they have done to my brother in prison. They beat him so much that he could not walk because the bottoms of his feet were so badly bruised. Under the force that was used against him, my brother confessed to the crime that he had not really committed, so that they would stop torturing him."

Reports indicate that some faced such treatments in order to obtain forced confessions from other prisoners, including family members. In an interview, former German prisoner in Iran, Helmut Szimkus, reported witnessing as prison officials rape a nine year old girl to obtain a confession from her father.

Although the head of the judiciary has called for special judicial branches for children and juveniles, inmates are not necessarily allocated to dedicated facilities. Some are placed in juvenile detention centres or wards of state prisons, while many are confined to cells occupied by adult offenders charged with a wide range of crimes.

In violation of Article 5 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, reports indicate incidents of abuse and rape by cellmates and prison officials, especially in notorious facilities such as Rajaie Shahr Prison.
Example: testimony by former child prisoner Nazanin Mahabad Fatehi, saved from execution through international and domestic pressure on the authorities: “One terrible night, some of my fellow inmates were making noise and fooling around at night. The prison officials rounded up ten of them and threw them in one cell. They gave them so many pills that they went crazy and started turning on each other. They ended up ganging up on a girl named Hani. They kicked her so much that her bones were shattered. They strangled her with headscarf and hung her from the showerhead. In the morning when they woke from the daze from all the pills and realized they had killed this girl they knocked to get the attention of the guards. Many of them fainted right then and there.”

Death Sentences are carried out inhumanely with short drop and suspension style executions.
- Suspension hanging method is frequently used, where the victim is hoisted up by a winch turned by two executioners or guards; Another is short drop hanging, where the victim is made to stand on a simple crate, which is then kicked away from under the victim's feet.
- In addition to its terrorising effects on those who witness the dying minor struggle for air, it causes the victim to suffer a slow and agonising death for up to fifteen minutes before asphyxiation takes total effect. Iran is among a few countries that still use this inhumane method.

Section 3: Recommendations

Stop Child Executions calls on the Islamic Republic of Iran to:

1. Stop all executions of those minors convicted of crimes committed before they were 18 years old until legislation is passed that bans such executions.
2. Urgently ensure that the Juvenile Crimes Investigation Act, which was approved in 2003 by parliament, is also approved by the Council of Guardians for implementation into law once it:
   a) Has been amended to be inclusive of all juveniles facing the death penalty, without exception, including qesas crimes which account for 90% of current child execution cases.
   b) Clearly distinguishes the age of majority for criminal responsibility. This would bring the law into line with Iran's obligations under the ICCPR and the CRC not to execute children.
3. Enable as a matter of priority unannounced visits by the UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions to inspect Iran's prisons holding juvenile offenders.
4. Revise Iranian legislation to ensure that anyone facing judicial execution by the state can seek pardon or commutation of their sentence, in line with Iran’s obligations under Article 6(4) of the ICCPR.
5. Uphold Iran's international obligations under the ICCPR and CRC by ensuring that the death penalty is only imposed for the most serious of crimes and is not imposed for offences committed by those under the age of 18.
6. Train and educate judges and lawyers throughout Iran to comply with directives and rulings issued by the central authorities.
7. As a preventative measure, facilitate educational campaigns for youth about the dangers and consequences of using weapons, including knives, and offer alternative options to self-defence by means of non-lethal instruments of protection e.g. pepper spray.
8. Consider rehabilitation and socialisation mechanisms as in other Islamic countries such as Egypt, which also has a low age of criminal responsibility but allows juveniles to remain under the protection of juvenile court systems at least until 18 years of age.
9. Consider criminal procedure models offered by other Islamic countries, such as Indonesia, where protection and guidance are the watchwords of the juvenile justice system.
10. Implement the recommendations in the detailed report published by the Iranian Embassy in Greece on the latest judicial developments concerning juvenile rights and amendments to the relevant laws.
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In many cases, including Delara Darabi’s, executions take place despite stay of execution issued by the head of the judiciary.

An example is the case of Mohammad Fadaee where “His initial death sentence was issued after only one hearing and while inadequately being represented. His first lawyer was not licensed and his two subsequent lawyers only submitted one written defense statement to the court during his trial.” in the Afshin-Jam, N and Danesh, T, From Cradle to Coffin: Child Executions in Iran (2009), p.43 in the Appendix A. Amnesty International (2008) Imminent execution/legal concern, Iran Mohammad Feda’i (m) aged 21, juvenile offender. 30 May 2008.Available from: <http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/asset/MDE13/074/2008/en/84c5023f-2e5e-11dd-a024-1d23853b0ed1/mde130742008eng.pdf> [Accessed 23 June 2009].

During Nazanin Mahabad Fatehi’s initial trial in 2005 without a lawyer’s presence, she was convicted of murder and sentenced to death. Her death sentence was confirmed in a trial on 3 January 2006. Due to international and internal pressure, Fatehi was granted a new trial on 10 January 2007 where the five presiding judges unanimously exonerated her of murder charges, recognizing that she had indeed defended herself against three would-be rapists. Please see: <http://save.nazanin.googlepages.com/> Original report on first trial was published on: <http://www.etemaad.com/>; English translation is available from: <http://save.nazanin.googlepages.com/original> [Accessed 23 June 2009].

Please see a detailed case study in Afshin-Jam, N and Danesh, T (2009) From Cradle to Coffin: A report on Child Executions in Iran, p. 39-40, Appendix A


published in Focus on 11 July 1994 and Neue Zürcher Zeitung (‘Bonns intensive Beziehungen zu Iran’), August 7, 1994, p.3

For details regarding the case of Nazanin Marhabad Fatehi, please go to http://save.nazanin.googlepages.com/home [Accessed 28 August 2009]
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This is one of the most painful methods of execution as it does not cause a sudden fracture to the neck, but pushes the tongue against the air passage while the victim feels the gradual decline in his organs trying to function in the absence of oxygen.

Detailed report on the latest judicial developments concerning women, children and juveniles’ rights and amendments to the relevant laws may be accessed here: http://www.iranembassy.gr/eng/files/TheLatestJudicialDevelopments.pdf