Executive Summary.

In this submission, the Islamic Human Rights Commission provides information under sections B, C and D General Guidelines for the Preparation of Information under the Universal Periodic Review. Under section B, the Islamic Human Rights Commission (IHRC) gives background information about freedom of religion and the struggle of Uighur Muslims in Chinese-occupied Central Asia. Under section C, IHRC presents concerns on the right to freedom of expression and freedom of religion. It also raises concerns about the work of international agencies in terms of freedom of expression and religion of Uighur Muslims and the co-operation of China with the UN’s human rights. Under section D, the IHRC makes a number of recommendations regarding freedom of expression and religion for action by the government.

B. Background.

China appears to be very strict on freedom of religion. This is evident from their history and the struggle of the Uighur Muslims in Chinese-occupied Central Asia.

Currently, Xinjiang is the only province of China to have a Muslim majority; it and occupied Tibet are the only administrative regions of China in which the ethnic Chinese still constitute a minority. The Uighurs finds themselves in a very similar situation to that of the people of Tibet. Like the Tibetans, Uighurs have endured decades of discrimination and oppression under Chinese rule.

Restrictions on the right to freedom of expression.

There are also reports of restrictions on the right to freedom of expression. Actions that are strictly forbidden for Uighurs include celebrating Islamic festivals and studying religious texts. The Chinese government vets who can become an Imam, what version of the Qur'an is acceptable, where religious gatherings may be held, and what may be said at such gatherings.

Recently introduced regulations forbid local government employees and young men under the age of eighteen from praying in the mosque.

C. Promotion and protection of human rights on the ground.

Freedom of religion.

Further, in June 2008, a court in the region sentenced five Muslim Imams to seven years imprisonment for illegally organising Hajj pilgrimages to Makkah. The Imams
were also charged with illegally providing copies of the Qur’an at a recent sentencing rally in Xayar County, near Aksu City.

Signs like the following have also been posted up in many public buildings in Beijing: Whenever anyone that can be identified as “Tibetan”, “Xinjiang Uighur” and “Qinghai Hualong Hui” enters the building, please report them to the security department. Security guards will persuade them to leave the building.

Accusations that the CCP was suppressing Islam in this region were also documented by the BBC (2006).

Freedom of expression
Further, mosque construction and renovation was severely curtailed, public broadcasting of sermons outside mosques was banned, religious education was proscribed, only religious material published by the state Religious Affairs Bureau was allowed, religious activists were purged from state positions and Haj pilgrimages were tightly controlled and limited to participants over 50 years of age (Uyghur Human Rights Project, 2004).

 Cooperation with UN human rights mechanisms.
According to article one of the new Regulations on Religious Affairs which was promulgated in November 2004 and implemented in March 2005, the state should “ensure freedom of religious belief” and regulate “the administration of religious affairs.” From Article 3 onwards, the regulations state that “only normal religious activities are protected”, leaving the party as the sole arbiter of what constitutes normality.

Apparently it seems that state is trying to improve legal construction in the field of religious affairs, which is appreciable. However careful study of these regulations reveals a different story. These laws stipulate that new religious centres may only be developed with state permission through a registration process. This process allows the state to monitor religious activities. As a result, it is more difficult for churches, mosques, temples or monasteries to exist without state approval. Such state-led intervention is contradictory to an atmosphere of religious freedom.

Article 36 of the constitution of the people’s Republic of China on religious freedom states that:
“No state organ, public organization or individual may compel citizens to believe in, or not to believe in, any religion; nor may they discriminate against citizens who believe in, or do not believe in, any religion. The state protects normal religious activities. No one may make use of religion to engage in activities that disrupt public order impair the health of citizens or interfere with the educational system of the state. Religious bodies and religious affairs are not subject to any foreign domination.”

In practice the reality is quite different. The Muslims residing in the north-west Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region are only free to practice religion within the boundaries set by Chinese law and policy.

Article 36 of the constitution of the people’s Republic of China is ambiguous in nature i.e “The state protects normal religious activities according to the law.” It means the state officials are the ones to decide what is normal. Some activities deemed “normal” by some religions and may seem abnormal by others. It clearly shows that China’s stance towards freedom of religion remains equivocal.

The working group on arbitrary detention 2004 noted that the constitution does not guarantee the freedom of expression of one’s religion through activities and recommends to revise the constitution to include such a guarantee.

China also assumed International obligation by being a member of Universal declaration (UDHR). Article 2 of UDHR states “Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration,”. According to article 18 “everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance which guarantees persons right to manifest their religion either alone or in community.” Whereas Article 29 (2) of UDHR also states that “In the exercise of his rights and freedoms, everyone shall be subject only to such limitations as are determined by law solely for the purpose of securing due recognition and respect for the rights and freedoms of others and of meeting the just requirements of morality, public order and the general welfare in a democratic society.”

China is also a signatory to the International Covenant for Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) and ratified convention on the rights of child (CRC). Article 14(1) of CRC clearly states “States Parties shall respect the right of the child to freedom of thought, conscience and religion.

It is evident that despite the fact China is a signatory and party to many human rights instruments, Uighur Muslims are still denied religious autonomy and freedom. This is a clear violation of China’s obligation under International human rights laws and standards and cannot be justified under any treaty mechanism.

Recommendation:

The Islamic Human Rights Commission recommends that:
The Republic of China should ratify the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

Uighur Muslim in Xinjiang should be allowed to practice Islam according to their religious belief, without government interference or approval.

Uighur Muslim children should be allowed to practice religion and the rights of parents to educate their children according to their own religious belief should be respected.
The Republic of China should repeal all law related to freedom of expression and religious belief and bring its laws in conformity with the International Human Rights Standard.